International Journal of Social Learning
December 2024, Vol. 5 (1), 247-263
e-ISSN 2774-4426 and p-ISSN 2774-8359
DOI: https://doi.org/10.47134/ijsl.v5i1.346

Designing Augmented Reality-based Tele-Education Management Systems: Improving Access and Interactivity in Remote Learning

Herlina Tarigan[1*]

[1]*Republic of Indonesia Defense University, Indonesia*

*e-mail: herlin8@yahoo.com

ABSTRACT

Integrating Augmented Reality (AR) in Tele-Education Management Systems (TEMS) enhances student engagement and interactivity in remote learning. Traditional methods often lack active participation, reducing learning outcomes. This research examines how AR-based TEMS improves interaction, understanding, and retention, especially in STEM education. A qualitative approach using secondary data from peer-reviewed studies, governmental reports, and educational case studies identified AR adoption trends. Findings highlight AR's ability to deliver immersive, interactive learning experiences that surpass traditional methods. However, barriers such as limited internet bandwidth, access to advanced hardware, and inadequate technical support challenge adoption in underserved areas. Solutions include optimizing AR for low-bandwidth environments and providing affordable, mobile-compatible devices. Additionally, AR enhances comprehension and retention of complex concepts, positioning it as a transformative tool for remote education by making learning more interactive, accessible, and effective.

***Keywords*:**

Augmented Reality; Remote Learning; STEM Education; Student Engagement; Tele-Education.

ABSTRAK

Integrasi Augmented Reality (AR) dalam Tele-Education Management Systems (TEMS) meningkatkan keterlibatan dan interaktivitas siswa dalam pembelajaran jarak jauh. Metode tradisional sering kali kurang melibatkan peserta didik secara aktif, sehingga mengurangi hasil pembelajaran. Penelitian ini meneliti bagaimana TEMS berbasis AR meningkatkan interaksi, pemahaman, dan retensi, khususnya dalam pendidikan STEM. Pendekatan

Submitted: 2024-03-18; Accepted: 2024-12-12; Published: 2024-12-24
***Corresponding author:** herlin8@yahoo.com

kualitatif menggunakan data sekunder dari studi yang ditinjau sejawat, laporan pemerintah, dan studi kasus pendidikan mengidentifikasi tren adopsi AR. Temuan menyoroti kemampuan AR untuk memberikan pengalaman pembelajaran yang imersif dan interaktif yang melampaui metode tradisional. Namun, hambatan seperti keterbatasan bandwidth internet, akses ke perangkat keras canggih, dan dukungan teknis yang tidak memadai menjadi tantangan adopsi di wilayah yang kurang terlayani. Solusinya meliputi pengoptimalan AR untuk lingkungan dengan bandwidth rendah dan penyediaan perangkat yang terjangkau dan kompatibel dengan perangkat seluler. Selain itu, AR meningkatkan pemahaman dan retensi konsep yang kompleks, sehingga memposisikannya sebagai alat transformatif untuk pendidikan jarak jauh dengan membuat pembelajaran lebih interaktif, mudah diakses, dan efektif.

***Kata kunci*:**

Augmented Reality; Keterlibatan Siswa; Pembelajaran Jarak Jauh; Pendidikan Stem; Tele-Education.

1. Introduction

The rapid advancement of technology has transformed education, yet significant gaps persist in interactivity and access to quality learning, particularly in remote areas. Augmented Reality (AR) has emerged as a potential solution, enabling immersive environments where students interact with physical and digital elements. Research highlights AR's ability to improve comprehension and engagement, especially in STEM (Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics) subjects, through real-time collaboration, 3D visualizations, and virtual labs (AlGerafi et al., 2023). However, barriers such as high costs, insufficient infrastructure, and the digital divide hinder AR’s adoption, particularly in underserved regions (Syed et al., 2022). While some solutions optimize AR for low-bandwidth environments and mobile devices, the long-term impact on learning outcomes remains underexplored.

This study addresses these gaps by evaluating the effectiveness of AR-based Tele-Education Management Systems (TEMS) in enhancing interactivity, improving access to education in remote areas, and boosting learning outcomes in STEM. These systems offer real-time collaboration, 3D visualizations, and virtual labs, making them superior to traditional e-learning methods that rely on static content delivery (Haghanikar, 2021; Liu et al., 2018).

Previous research shows that AR-based educational tools significantly boost student motivation and learning outcomes in STEM (Yu et al., 2022), and their ability to enhance interactivity and engagement holds promise for broader implementation. However, challenges such as high costs, insufficient technological infrastructure, and the digital divide, particularly in underserved areas, limit the widespread use of AR-based TEMS (Syed et al., 2022). Existing solutions, such as optimizing AR for mobile devices and low-bandwidth environments, aim to address these limitations, but the long-term effects of AR on learning outcomes remain underexplored.

This study aims to bridge the gap in existing research by evaluating the effectiveness of AR-based TEMS in enhancing interactivity, improving access to quality education in remote areas, and boosting learning outcomes in hands-on subjects like STEM. It will contribute to the growing body of literature on AR's application in education, offering insights into practical implementation and the potential to solve existing limitations.

The objectives of this study are threefold: First, to evaluate the effectiveness of AR-based Tele-Education Management Systems (TEMS) in enhancing student interactivity and engagement in remote learning environments, particularly through virtual simulations, 3D visualizations, and real-time collaboration, and their impact on student participation. Second, to investigate the potential of AR-based TEMS to improve access to quality education for students in remote or underserved areas, focusing on how AR can be optimized for mobile devices and low-bandwidth environments to overcome infrastructural limitations. Finally, the research aims to analyze the impact of AR-based learning on educational outcomes, particularly in hands-on disciplines like STEM, by studying whether AR simulations of real-world experiences lead to improved understanding and retention compared to traditional online learning methods.

The problem of reduced interactivity and limited access to quality education in remote learning environments has created a need for innovative solutions. AR-based Tele-Education Management Systems present a promising avenue for addressing these challenges, but empirical research is necessary to understand their full potential. This study aims to evaluate the effectiveness of AR in improving engagement, making education more accessible, and enhancing learning outcomes, particularly in STEM disciplines. By addressing these research questions, the study will contribute to the growing body of knowledge on the application of AR in education and provide insights into its practical implementation in remote learning.

2. Methods

The research employs a qualitative approach using secondary data analysis to examine the effectiveness of Augmented Reality-based Tele-Education Management Systems (AR-based TEMS) in improving student engagement, interactivity, and learning outcomes in high school settings. The methodology follows Creswell's (2018) framework for qualitative inquiry and is detailed in the sub-chapters below.

2.1. Research Design

The study adopts a qualitative research design to explore the impact of AR on remote education. This design is suitable for analyzing existing reports, case studies, and research articles to uncover trends and insights. By leveraging secondary qualitative methods, the study captures a broader perspective on AR's role in enhancing STEM education.

2.2 Population and Sample

The population consists of high school students involved in AR-based remote learning initiatives. The *sample* is from secondary sources, including pilot program reports, government education policies, and peer-reviewed studies. These sources provide data on AR's integration in STEM subjects and its effectiveness in addressing learning challenges.

2.3 Data Collection

Data for this research is collected from pre-existing sources, focusing on:

- Educational Reports: Analyses of AR pilot programs in STEM education from various high schools.
- Government Policies: National and regional guidelines promoting the use of AR in learning.
- Peer-Reviewed Research: Studies exploring AR's impact on student engagement and educational outcomes.
- Student Feedback: Evaluations from students participating in AR-based activities.

The data sources were carefully selected for their *credibility and relevance*, ensuring alignment with the study's objectives.

2.4 Data Analysis

The research employs Creswell's (2014) framework for secondary data analysis, consisting of:

Identifying Relevant Sources: Credible sources were reviewed, including peer-reviewed journals and authoritative educational reports. For example, STEM department feedback from high schools demonstrated AR's effectiveness in virtual labs. Thematic Analysis: Data was coded to identify themes such as enhanced engagement, accessibility issues, and improved learning outcomes. Patterns like "limited infrastructure" and "interactive learning" were categorized for analysis. Interpreting Findings: Findings were interpreted to address research objectives. For example, AR-based tools were shown to improve STEM comprehension, but barriers like device incompatibility persisted. Identifying Relevant Sources. The first step involves selecting credible and authoritative data sources. Creswell (2014) emphasizes the importance of using peer-reviewed journal articles, government publications, and case studies. For example, UNESCO reports, and World Bank analyses provide insights into the global AR adoption trends and accessibility challenges. Analyzing Themes and Patterns: Using Creswell's approach, secondary data is coded to identify recurring themes, such as improved engagement through AR or barriers like limited infrastructure. For instance, High School's STEM department reports revealed enhanced student participation in AR-driven simulations. Themes like "accessibility" and "learning outcomes" are categorized for structured analysis (Ibáñez & Delgado-Kloos, 2018). Interpreting Findings: Interpretation focuses on connecting findings to research objectives. Data shows that AR-based tools at High School improve comprehension in STEM disciplines, supporting the broader argument for AR's potential in diverse subjects. Limitations such as internet constraints at the school are also analyzed in the context of national challenges.

2.5 Application in AR-Based TEMS at High School

Key insights from the data highlight AR's transformative potential:

Engagement and Learning Outcomes: AR-based simulations and 3D visualizations significantly enhance STEM comprehension and retention. Challenges: Barriers include limited technological infrastructure, high costs, and compatibility issues in underserved areas. Recommendations: Optimizing AR for low-bandwidth environments and mobile devices is crucial to expanding access and improving usability across diverse demographics. Analyzing secondary data from High School reveals critical insights into AR-based TEMS. For instance, student feedback highlights increased engagement in virtual simulations, while reports identify barriers like device compatibility issues. These findings directly inform recommendations for optimizing AR tools for the school's diverse learner demographics (Lu et al., 2021).

2.6 Advantages and Limitations of Using Secondary Data

Advantages:

Time Efficiency: Using existing data accelerates research without extensive primary data collection (Taherdoost, 2021).

Comprehensive Insights: Secondary data offers a broader context of AR's educational role (Bacca Acosta et al., 2014).

Using secondary data provides several benefits:

- Time Efficiency: Existing data from AR pilot programs at High School reduces the need for extensive primary data collection (Taherdoost, 2021).
- Contextual Insights: Secondary data complements primary research by offering a broader understanding of AR's impact (Chang et al., 2022).

Limitations of Secondary Data

Despite its advantages, secondary data poses challenges, including potential irrelevance or outdated information. For example, student feedback at High School only partially aligns with emerging AR trends in education. Creswell (2014) recommends triangulating secondary data with surveys or interviews to ensure validity.

2.7 Qualitative Research and Secondary Data

Qualitative research involves exploring and understanding the meanings individuals or groups assign to social phenomena (Creswell, 2014). This study uses secondary qualitative methods to analyze data, including research reports, archival records, and case studies. In the context of High School, relevant secondary data include student feedback on AR tools, government policies on educational technology, and previous research on AR-based systems in education.

Secondary data for this research includes educational reports from High Schools, user feedback from AR pilot programs, and national policies on educational technology (Syed et al., 2022). These sources help establish a foundational understanding of AR's role in enhancing interactivity and engagement in remote learning environments.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1 Identifying Relevant Sources for AR-Based TEMS Research

The initial step in conducting secondary qualitative research is to identify suitable data sources that are credible, relevant, and aligned with the research objectives. When examining the integration of Augmented Reality (AR) in Tele-Education Management Systems (TEMS) to enhance student interactivity and engagement in remote learning environments, relevant data sources include studies on the application of AR in education, reports detailing user experiences from remote learning platforms, and government policies on educational technology. Creswell (2014) underscores the importance of selecting reliable and authoritative sources for secondary research, which may include peer-reviewed journal articles, official government publications, and comprehensive case studies from educational institutions.

For example, a study by (Syed et al., 2022) highlights the value of education ministry archives and technology reports in understanding the accessibility and implementation of AR technologies in developing countries. Similarly, research reports from international organizations, such as UNESCO or the World Bank, may offer insights into broader trends in remote education and AR adoption in under-resourced regions. These sources can provide essential background information that allows researchers to evaluate the impact of AR-based TEMS on student engagement, especially in remote and underserved areas.

3.1.1 Enhancing Student Interactivity and Engagement through AR-Based Features

One of the major goals of AR integration in TEMS is to address the common challenge of student disengagement in remote learning environments, where traditional learning methods, such as videos and static content, may not be sufficiently interactive. AR provides dynamic, immersive experiences that engage students through real-time object manipulation and virtual simulations. Research by (Mystakidis et al., 2022) demonstrates that these AR-based tools can significantly improve student participation, particularly in STEM disciplines where hands-on, visual learning is critical.

AR transforms passive learning into an active and engaging process by allowing students to explore and interact with complex concepts. For example, AR can enable students to visualize molecular structures, manipulate geometric figures, or simulate engineering systems in 3D environments (Fombona-Pascual et al., 2022). This interactive nature helps students understand abstract ideas more deeply, fostering better engagement and comprehension in remote settings.

3.1.2 Overcoming Barriers in AR Accessibility for Remote and Underserved Areas

Despite its potential, making AR-based TEMS accessible to students in remote and underserved areas is fraught with challenges, including limited internet bandwidth, inadequate hardware, and unreliable infrastructure. In such regions, the lack of high-speed internet and access to advanced devices can prevent students from fully utilizing AR-based systems (Garlinska et al., 2023). Optimizing AR content for low-bandwidth environments and ensuring compatibility with mobile devices with lower processing power are essential strategies for overcoming these barriers.

Moreover, innovative solutions such as deploying solar-powered charging stations and using satellite internet can help mitigate infrastructure issues, making AR-based learning more feasible in remote areas (Makhdoom et al., 2022). Technical support is another critical factor in ensuring the long-term success of AR-based TEMS in these regions. Remote IT support and training for local educators in maintaining AR systems can empower communities to manage and sustain their educational technologies independently (Marques et al., 2022).

3.1.3 Impact of AR on Understanding and Retention in STEM Education

AR’s ability to visualize complex concepts in three-dimensional space is particularly beneficial in STEM education, where students often struggle to grasp abstract or difficult topics. By providing an interactive, immersive learning environment, AR enables students to engage directly with the material, enhancing their understanding and retention of key concepts (Prit Kaur et al., 2022).

For example, AR-based learning tools allow students to perform virtual experiments in physics, simulate chemical reactions, or explore anatomical models in biology (Ciloglu & Ustun, 2023). This hands-on learning promotes deeper cognitive processing, which research suggests leads to better retention of information compared to traditional learning methods (Abdul et al., 2016). The ability to practice and repeat these activities in different contexts also reinforces knowledge, making students more likely to retain what they have learned (Sprenger, 2018).

Identifying relevant sources is a crucial step in secondary qualitative research, especially when exploring the integration of AR into TEMS. By analyzing credible data from peer-reviewed studies, government reports, and user experiences, researchers can gain valuable insights into the impact of AR on student interactivity and engagement. Furthermore, addressing the technological challenges that limit AR’s accessibility in remote and underserved areas requires innovative solutions that optimize content for low-bandwidth environments and provide necessary infrastructure support. Finally, the cognitive benefits of AR-based learning tools, particularly in enhancing understanding and retention of complex concepts in STEM education, demonstrate AR’s transformative potential in education.

In exploring the integration of Augmented Reality (AR) in Tele-Education Management Systems (TEMS), it is essential to systematically identify credible and relevant sources, evaluate AR's impact on student engagement, address barriers to accessibility, and understand its influence on STEM education. Secondary qualitative research offers a structured approach to achieving these goals by drawing on data from peer-reviewed studies, case reports, and government policies. Below is a detailed table outlining key aspects of AR-based TEMS research, accompanied by relevant data and findings.

Table 1. Identifying Relevant Sources for AR-Based TEMS Research

Aspect	Relevant Data	Key Findings

Credible Sources	Studies on AR in education (Syed et al., 2022), user experiences, government policies (Creswell, 2014), UNESCO and World Bank reports on AR adoption trends.	AR adoption depends on comprehensive data sources, including government archives and global educational reports.
AR Features for Engagement	Real-time object manipulation and virtual simulations (Mystakidis et al., 2022), examples of molecular visualization, and geometric modeling (Fombona-Pascual et al., 2022).	Interactive AR tools significantly enhance student engagement, particularly in STEM disciplines.
Barriers to Accessibility	Challenges of limited bandwidth and infrastructure (Garlinska et al., 2023), solutions like satellite internet, solar-powered stations (Makhdoom et al., 2022), and remote IT support (Marques et al., 2022).	Practical solutions are necessary to address technological and infrastructural barriers in remote areas.

Source: proceed by author, 2024.

The table provides a comprehensive overview of critical areas in AR-based TEMS research. Identifying relevant sources ensures the foundation of the study is built on reliable data, such as government archives and global reports. Enhancing interactivity highlights AR's transformative role in making learning more engaging, especially for STEM disciplines. Addressing barriers demonstrates the need for practical solutions, such as optimizing AR content for low-bandwidth environments and providing infrastructure support. Finally, the impact on STEM education underscores AR's capacity to improve comprehension and retention through immersive, hands-on experiences.

3.2 Analyzing Themes and Patterns in AR-Based Learning Systems

According to Creswell’s framework, a critical step in secondary qualitative research is coding and categorizing data to identify recurring themes and patterns. In the context of AR-based learning systems, this process involves closely examining documents and reports to uncover common ideas related to student engagement, accessibility, and the effectiveness of AR in improving educational outcomes (Creswell, 2014). For instance, researchers might review studies on AR in remote learning to identify consistent themes such as "enhanced interactivity," "improved comprehension," or "challenges in hardware accessibility" (Hung & Chen, 2018).

By using Creswell’s coding methods, the data can be organized into categories like “accessibility barriers,” “student engagement improvements,” and “learning outcomes.” For example, patterns may emerge regarding 3D visualizations in STEM subjects, where students benefit from hands-on interaction with virtual models. A study by (Rodríguez et al., 2021) demonstrated that AR-based learning tools significantly increased student participation, particularly in complex subjects like molecular biology and engineering.

Organizing the data into these categories allows researchers to conduct a more structured analysis. This leads to a deeper understanding of how AR-based Tele-Education Management Systems (TEMS) impact student learning in remote environments. This method also highlights the areas where AR enhances learning, such as through real-time collaboration or personalized feedback mechanisms, which are essential for maintaining student motivation and engagement (Alzahrani, 2020).

By identifying and analyzing these recurring themes, researchers can better evaluate the effectiveness of AR-based learning systems and propose targeted solutions for overcoming challenges like limited bandwidth or access to advanced hardware (Ghasemi et al., 2022). This process enhances the validity of the findings and provides a comprehensive view of how AR can be integrated into remote education more effectively.

3.2.1 Enhancing Engagement and Learning Outcomes

Themes related to student engagement and learning outcomes often emerge when analyzing AR-based educational systems. Research shows that AR transforms passive learning into an active experience by allowing students to interact with digital objects and participate in virtual simulations. By categorizing data on "active participation" and "immersion," researchers can better understand how AR-based tools lead to improved comprehension and retention of complex concepts (Brown, 2018). These findings are particularly relevant in STEM education, where abstract ideas can be made more accessible through AR-enhanced visualizations and simulations (Virata & Castro, 2019).

3.2.2 Addressing Accessibility and Technical Challenges

Analyzing recurring themes in the challenges faced by AR-based TEMS, such as limited internet bandwidth or lack of advanced devices, can lead to a better understanding of accessibility issues. This approach helps identify practical solutions, such as optimizing AR content for low-bandwidth environments or leveraging mobile devices with lower processing power (Siriwardhana et al., 2021). By categorizing these themes, researchers can suggest strategies to improve the implementation of AR-based systems in underserved areas.

3.3 Research Findings

Augmented Reality (AR) integration in Tele-Education Management Systems (TEMS) has revolutionized how students engage with educational content, particularly in remote and underserved areas. This technology has the potential to address several challenges related to student interaction, comprehension, and retention while also introducing new hurdles, especially in regions with limited access to technology and infrastructure. Table 2. below summarizes the key findings of research on the impact of AR in remote learning, highlighting both its benefits and the challenges associated with its implementation.

Table 2. Key Findings on the Impact of AR-Based Tele-Education Management Systems (TEMS) in Remote Learning

Category	Findings
Enhancing Student Interactivity & Engagement	- AR in TEMS significantly enhances student engagement and interactivity in remote learning (Serrano et al., 2019). - AR transforms passive learning into immersive experiences, providing features like real-time object manipulation and virtual simulations (Marougkas et al., 2021). - AR-based tools improve participation, particularly in STEM, by allowing students to explore complex concepts through hands-on interactions (Y. Zhang et al., 2024).
Immersive Learning Experiences	- AR engages multiple senses (visual, tactile, kinesthetic), leading to more meaningful and memorable learning experiences (Sanfilippo et al., 2022). - In medical education, AR allows students to interact with 3D models, perform virtual dissections, and simulate surgeries, improving practical skills (Dhar et al., 2021). - Immediate feedback and personalized learning enhance motivation and attention (Baxter & Hainey, 2024).
Addressing Limitations of Remote Learning	- AR reduces isolation by simulating in-person interactions, such as virtual experiments in lab environments (Kasapakis & Dzardanova, 2022). - AR integrates virtual content with real-world environments, such as using AR to overlay digital models onto physical landscapes in architectural studies (Portman et al., 2015).
Challenges in Remote AR-Based TEMS	- Limited bandwidth and low access to advanced hardware create barriers (Portman et al., 2015). - Lack of electricity and technical support in underserved areas adds further challenges (Lamberti et al., 2014).
Solutions for AR Accessibility	- Optimizing AR for low-bandwidth environments by compressing 3D models and offering offline functionality (W. Zhang et al., 2022). - Leveraging mobile devices with lower processing power by simplifying AR content (Qiao et al., 2019). - Partnerships between schools and tech companies for subsidized devices and distributing them to underserved communities (Darmawaskita & McDaniel, 2021).
Impact on Understanding & Retention in STEM	- AR helps students understand complex, abstract concepts by allowing them to manipulate 3D models in real-time (Ibáñez & Delgado-Kloos, 2018). - AR fosters retention by providing repeated, hands-on interactions with material, enhancing long-term learning (Alkhabra et al., 2023).
AR vs. Traditional Learning	- AR significantly outperforms traditional learning methods, such as video lectures and static diagrams, in fostering deeper cognitive engagement and retention (Fisher & Baird, 2020).

	- AR’s interactive nature is especially beneficial for students struggling with abstract concepts, providing concrete, tangible learning experiences (Kao & Ruan, 2022).

Source: proceed by author, 2024.

The findings presented in Table 2. illustrate the transformative potential of AR in remote learning environments, particularly in enhancing student engagement and understanding of complex concepts in STEM education. Despite its advantages, significant barriers exist to widespread implementation, especially in underserved regions. Addressing these challenges, such as limited access to infrastructure and technology, requires targeted solutions like optimizing AR content for low-bandwidth environments and providing affordable, accessible devices.

As AR technology continues to evolve, its role in education will likely expand, offering more dynamic, interactive, and personalized learning experiences (Sarjito, 2023). With the right infrastructure and support, AR-based TEMS can become a critical tool for improving access to quality education worldwide, bridging gaps in traditional remote learning systems.

3.4 Comparison with Previous Research and Novelty

This study builds on previous research, such as Serrano et al. (2019) and Ibáñez & Delgado-Kloos (2018), emphasizing AR’s ability to enhance student engagement and simplify abstract STEM concepts. However, it distinguishes itself by focusing on AR-based Tele-Education Management Systems (TEMS) and their application in remote and underserved areas. Unlike prior studies, this research highlights AR's benefits and addresses systemic challenges like limited infrastructure, bandwidth constraints, and device affordability.

A key novelty lies in proposing actionable solutions, including optimizing AR for low-bandwidth environments, leveraging partnerships to subsidize devices, and examining AR’s *long-term impact* on retention and motivation—areas underexplored in existing literature. Additionally, this study emphasizes practical implementation in underserved regions, bridging the *digital divide* in education. By framing AR-based TEMS as a scalable and equitable solution, this research advances the discourse on AR’s transformative potential, offering theoretical insights and practical strategies for enhancing access to quality remote education.

3.5 Interpreting Findings in AR-Based Tele-Education Management Systems (TEMS)

The final step in Creswell’s qualitative research approach is interpreting the data about the research questions and objectives. The objective of AR-based Tele-Education Management Systems (TEMS) is to understand how AR enhances access and interactivity in remote learning environments. (Creswell, 2014) emphasizes that interpretation must be firmly grounded in the data while providing new insights into the phenomenon under investigation. For example, if secondary data shows that AR significantly improves student engagement in STEM disciplines, this could support the broader argument that AR-based TEMS could enhance interactivity across other subject areas.

Moreover, interpretation must consider the broader context, such as technological limitations and cultural factors that might influence the adoption and effectiveness of AR-based systems in different regions (Faqih, 2022). In underserved areas, where internet bandwidth and access to advanced devices are limited, AR's potential impact on interactivity may be constrained by external factors (Braud et al., 2017). Thus, while AR can theoretically transform engagement and learning outcomes, these interpretations must be carefully balanced against practical challenges in implementation.

3.5.1 AR’s Role in Enhancing Engagement

AR’s interactive features—such as real-time object manipulation and virtual simulations—have significantly improved student participation and engagement in STEM fields. For instance, (Teplá et al., 2022) demonstrated that students who use AR to visualize molecular structures or manipulate 3D models are more likely to remain engaged and curious, exploring the content in ways that deepen their understanding. When interpreting findings related to engagement, this insight suggests that AR-based TEMS could similarly enhance student participation in other complex or abstract subjects, such as history, economics, or literature, where interactive simulations can bring concepts to life.

3.5.2 Addressing Broader Technological and Cultural Factors

Interpreting the impact of AR-based TEMS also requires understanding the technological and cultural barriers to widespread adoption. In many remote or underserved regions, limited access to high-speed internet and advanced hardware can inhibit the effectiveness of AR systems. Thus, while the data may show that AR improves engagement and learning outcomes in well-resourced environments, these results may not directly apply to areas with limited infrastructure (Karusala et al., 2017). Researchers must, therefore, consider how technological adaptations, such as optimizing AR for low-bandwidth environments or developing lightweight AR applications for mobile devices, could mitigate these challenges (W. Zhang et al., 2017). Additionally, cultural differences may affect the adoption and reception of AR-based learning tools. Some regions may resist adopting new technologies, or students and teachers may require additional training to fully utilize AR systems (Alalwan et al., 2020). These contextual factors must be carefully integrated into the interpretation of findings, ensuring that any proposed solutions are practical and culturally appropriate.

3.5.3 Expanding AR’s Impact Beyond STEM

Another critical area for interpretation is expanding AR's impact beyond STEM disciplines. Although AR has shown significant benefits in STEM fields—where 3D visualization and hands-on learning are essential—its potential to improve learning in other subjects should not be overlooked. For example, AR can simulate historical events in real-time, allowing students to immerse themselves in different historical periods or create interactive economic models where they can observe the impact of different variables on global markets. This expanded use of AR could enhance interactivity and understanding across a broader range of subjects, further increasing AR-based TEMS's applicability and impact (Kazanidis & Pellas, 2019).

4. Conclusion

Integrating Augmented Reality (AR) into Tele-Education Management Systems (TEMS) represents a transformative leap in addressing the challenges of student engagement and interactivity in remote learning. By enabling real-time object manipulation, virtual simulations, and immersive learning experiences, AR shifts education from passive content consumption to active participation. These features enhance comprehension, foster deeper connections between students and instructors, and improve learning outcomes, particularly in STEM education. As AR-based TEMS evolve, they hold the potential to revolutionize remote education by making it more interactive, engaging, and accessible worldwide.

However, achieving this vision requires addressing significant barriers, particularly in underserved regions. Challenges such as limited internet bandwidth, inadequate hardware, and infrastructure gaps must be mitigated by optimizing AR for low-bandwidth environments, utilizing mobile devices with lower processing power, and providing remote technical support. By overcoming these obstacles, AR-based TEMS can democratize education, offering high-quality, interactive learning to students even in remote areas. Future research should prioritize tailored AR applications for resource-constrained settings and explore their long-term cognitive effects, ensuring AR's scalability and inclusivity in education.

5. References

Abdul, B., Adesope, O. O., Thiessen, D. B., & Van Wie, B. J. (2016). Comparing the effects of two active learning approaches. International Journal of Engineering Education, 32(2), 654–669. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/301921210_Comparing_the_Effects_of_Two_Active_Learning_Approaches.

Alalwan, N., Cheng, L., Al-Samarraie, H., Yousef, R., Alzahrani, A. I., & Sarsam, S. M. (2020). Challenges and prospects of virtual reality and augmented reality utilization among primary school teachers: A developing country perspective. Studies in Educational Evaluation, 66, 100876. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.stueduc.2020.100876.

AlGerafi, M. A. M., Zhou, Y., Oubibi, M., & Wijaya, T. T. (2023). Unlocking the potential: A comprehensive evaluation of augmented and virtual reality in education. Electronics, 12(18), 3953. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.3390/electronics12183953.

Alkhabra, Y. A., Ibrahem, U. M., & Alkhabra, S. A. (2023). Augmented reality technology in enhancing learning retention and critical thinking according to STEAM program. Humanities and Social Sciences Communications, 10(1), 1–10. https://www.nature.com/articles/s41599-023-01650-w.

Alzahrani, N. M. (2020). Augmented reality: A systematic review of its benefits and challenges in e-learning contexts. Applied Sciences, 10(16), 5660. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.3390/app10165660.

Bacca Acosta, J. L., Baldiris Navarro, S. M., Fabregat Gesa, R., & Graf, S. (2014). Augmented reality trends in education: a systematic review of research and applications. Journal of Educational Technology and Society, 2014, Vol. 17, Núm. 4, p. 133-149.

Baxter, G., & Hainey, T. (2024). Using immersive technologies to enhance the student learning experience. Interactive Technology and Smart Education, 21(3), 403–425. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1108/ITSE-05-2023-0078.

Braud, T., Bijarbooneh, F. H., Chatzopoulos, D., & Hui, P. (2017). Future networking challenges: The case of mobile augmented reality. 2017 IEEE 37th International Conference on Distributed Computing Systems (ICDCS), 1796–1807. https://doi.org/DOI:10.1109/ICDCS.2017.48.

Brown, T. M. (2018). Playing to Win: Applying Cognitive Theory and Gamification to Augmented Reality for Enhanced Mathematical Outcomes in Underrepresented Student Populations. https://vtechworks.lib.vt.edu/items/0d258cd2-e0cb-4635-ac3f-e4b4d94c7354.

Ciloglu, T., & Ustun, A. B. (2023). The effects of mobile AR-based biology learning experience on students' motivation, self-efficacy, and attitudes in online learning. Journal of Science Education and Technology, 32(3), 309–337. https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s10956-023-10030-7.

Creswell, J. W. (2014). Research Design: Qualitative, Quantitative, and Mixed Methods Approaches. SAGE Publications. https://cumming.ucalgary.ca/sites/default/files/teams/82/communications/Creswell%202003%20-%20Research%20Design%20-%20Qualitative%2C%20Quantitative%20and%20Mixed%20Methods.pdf.

Darmawaskita, N., & McDaniel, T. (2021). Analysis of the impact of educational technology on social inequity in the United States. International Conference on Human-Computer Interaction, 41–51. https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-3-030-78095-1_4.

Dhar, P., Rocks, T., Samarasinghe, R. M., Stephenson, G., & Smith, C. (2021). Augmented reality in medical education: students' experiences and learning outcomes. Medical Education Online, 26(1), 1953953. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1080/10872981.2021.1953953.

Faqih, K. M. S. (2022). Factors influencing the behavioral intention to adopt a technological innovation from a developing country context: The case of mobile augmented reality games. Technology in Society, 69, 101958. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techsoc.2022.101958.

Fisher, M. M., & Baird, D. E. (2020). Humanizing user experience design strategies with NEW technologies: AR, VR, MR, ZOOM, ALLY and AI to support student engagement and retention in higher education. In International perspectives on the role of technology in humanizing higher education (pp. 105–129). Emerald Publishing Limited. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1108/S2055-364120200000033007.

Fombona-Pascual, A., Fombona, J., & Vicente, R. (2022). Augmented reality, a review of a way to represent and manipulate 3D chemical structures. Journal of Chemical Information and Modeling, 62(8), 1863–1872. https://pubs.acs.org/doi/full/10.1021/acs.jcim.1c01255.

Garlinska, M., Osial, M., Proniewska, K., & Pregowska, A. (2023). The influence of emerging technologies on distance education. Electronics, 12(7), 1550. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.3390/electronics12071550.

Ghasemi, Y., Jeong, H., Choi, S. H., Park, K.-B., & Lee, J. Y. (2022). Deep learning-based object detection in augmented reality: A systematic review. Computers in Industry, 139, 103661. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compind.2022.103661.

Haghanikar, M. (2021). Visualizing dynamic systems: volumetric and holographic display. Morgan & Claypool Publishers. https://books.google.co.id/books?hl=en&lr=&id=xq0zEAAAQBAJ&oi=fnd&pg=PP2&dq=Haghanikar,+M.+(2021).+Visualizing+dynamic+systems:+volumetric+and+holographic+display.+Morgan+%26+Claypool+Publishers.&ots=S5D_oZ1mKz&sig=INZ0ESATFZ2GJVOgyAVglkkVU3E&redir_esc=y#v=onepage&q=Haghanikar%2C%20M.%20(2021).%20Visualizing%20dynamic%20systems%3A%20volumetric%20and%20holographic%20display.%20Morgan%20%26%20Claypool%20Publishers.&f=false.

Hung, I.-C., & Chen, N.-S. (2018). Embodied interactive video lectures for improving learning comprehension and retention. Computers & Education, 117, 116–131. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2017.10.005.

Ibáñez, M.-B., & Delgado-Kloos, C. (2018). Augmented reality for STEM learning: A systematic review. Computers & Education, 123, 109–123. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2018.05.002.

Kao, G. Y.-M., & Ruan, C.-A. (2022). Designing and evaluating a high interactive augmented reality system for programming learning. Computers in Human Behavior, 132, 107245. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2022.107245.

Karusala, N., Vishwanath, A., Kumar, A., Mangal, A., & Kumar, N. (2017). Care as a resource in underserved learning environments. Proceedings of the ACM on Human-Computer Interaction, 1(CSCW), 1–22. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1145/3134739.

Kasapakis, V., & Dzardanova, E. (2022). Virtual reality learning environments: using high-fidelity avatars to enhance distance learning experience. Interactive Learning Environments, 1–14. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1080/10494820.2022.2146140.

Kazanidis, I., & Pellas, N. (2019). Developing and Assessing Augmented Reality Applications for Mathematics with Trainee Instructional Media Designers: An Exploratory Study on User Experience. J. Univers. Comput. Sci., 25(5), 489–514. https://core.ac.uk/reader/440342210.

Lamberti, F., Manuri, F., Sanna, A., Paravati, G., Pezzolla, P., & Montuschi, P. (2014). Challenges, opportunities, and future trends of emerging techniques for augmented reality-based maintenance. IEEE Transactions on Emerging Topics in Computing, 2(4), 411–421. https://doi.org/DOI:10.1109/TETC.2014.2368833.

Liu, S., Glowatz, M., Zappatore, M., Gao, H., Jia, B., & Bucciero, A. (2018). E-learning, e-education, and online training. Springer. https://link.springer.com/book/10.1007/978-3-030-63952-5.

Makhdoom, I., Lipman, J., Abolhasan, M., & Challen, D. (2022). Science and Technology Parks: A futuristic approach. IEEE Access, 10, 31981–32021. https://doi.org/DOI:10.1109/ACCESS.2022.3159798.

Marougkas, A., Troussas, C., Krouska, A., & Sgouropoulou, C. (2021). A framework for personalized fully immersive virtual reality learning environments with gamified design in education. In Novelties in Intelligent Digital Systems (pp. 95–104). IOS Press. https://doi.org/10.3233/FAIA210080.

Marques, B., Silva, S., Alves, J., Rocha, A., Dias, P., & Santos, B. S. (2022). Remote collaboration in maintenance contexts using augmented reality: insights from a participatory process. International Journal on Interactive Design and Manufacturing (IJIDeM), 1–20. https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s12008-021-00798-6.

Mystakidis, S., Christopoulos, A., & Pellas, N. (2022). A systematic mapping review of augmented reality applications to support STEM learning in higher education. Education and Information Technologies, 27(2), 1883–1927. https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s10639-021-10682-1.

Portman, M. E., Natapov, A., & Fisher-Gewirtzman, D. (2015). To go where no man has gone before: Virtual reality in architecture, landscape architecture and environmental planning. Computers, Environment and Urban Systems, 54, 376–384. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compenvurbsys.2015.05.001.

Prit Kaur, D., Mantri, A., & Horan, B. (2022). Design implications for adaptive augmented reality based interactive learning environment for improved concept comprehension in engineering paradigms. Interactive Learning Environments, 30(4), 589–607. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1080/10494820.2019.1674885.

Qiao, X., Ren, P., Dustdar, S., Liu, L., Ma, H., & Chen, J. (2019). Web AR: A promising future for mobile augmented reality—State of the art, challenges, and insights. Proceedings of the IEEE, 107(4), 651–666. https://doi.org/DOI:10.1109/JPROC.2019.2895105.

Rodríguez, F. C., Frattini, G., Krapp, L. F., Martinez-Hung, H., Moreno, D. M., Roldán, M., Salomón, J., Stemkoski, L., Traeger, S., & Dal Peraro, M. (2021). MoleculARweb: A web site for chemistry and structural biology education through interactive augmented reality out of the box in commodity devices. Journal of Chemical Education, 98(7), 2243–2255. https://pubs.acs.org/doi/abs/10.1021/acs.jchemed.1c00179.

Sanfilippo, F., Blazauskas, T., Salvietti, G., Ramos, I., Vert, S., Radianti, J., Majchrzak, T. A., & Oliveira, D. (2022). A perspective review on integrating VR/AR with haptics into stem education for multi-sensory learning. Robotics, 11(2), 41. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.3390/robotics11020041.

Sarjito, A. (2023). Human Resource Management in the AI Era: Challenges and Opportunities. Prosiding Seminar Nasional Ilmu Manajemen, Ekonomi, Keuangan Dan Bisnis, 2(2), 211–240.

Serrano, D. R., Dea-Ayuela, M. A., Gonzalez-Burgos, E., Serrano-Gil, A., & Lalatsa, A. (2019). Technology-enhanced learning in higher education: How to enhance student engagement through blended learning. European Journal of Education, 54(2), 273–286. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1111/ejed.12330.

Siriwardhana, Y., Porambage, P., Liyanage, M., & Ylianttila, M. (2021). A survey on mobile augmented reality with 5G mobile edge computing: Architectures, applications, and technical aspects. IEEE Communications Surveys & Tutorials, 23(2), 1160–1192. https://doi.org/DOI:10.1109/COMST.2021.3061981.

Sprenger, M. (2018). How to teach so students remember. ASCD. https://books.google.co.id/books?hl=en&lr=&id=cSNLDwAAQBAJ&oi=fnd&pg=PR3&dq=Sprenger,+M.+(2018).+How+to+teach+so+students+remember.+ASCD.&ots=8f8X330S37&sig=SVfz4YmKCD_ttUntgur1gQuBMZg&redir_esc=y#v=onepage&q=Sprenger%2C%20M.%20(2018).%20How%20to%20teach%20so%20students%20remember.%20ASCD.&f=false.

Syed, T. A., Siddiqui, M. S., Abdullah, H. B., Jan, S., Namoun, A., Alzahrani, A., Nadeem, A., & Alkhodre, A. B. (2022). In-depth review of augmented reality: Tracking technologies, development tools, AR displays, collaborative AR, and security concerns. Sensors, 23(1), 146. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.3390/s23010146.

Taherdoost, H. (2021). Data collection methods and tools for research; a step-by-step guide to choose data collection technique for academic and business research projects. International Journal of Academic Research in Management (IJARM), 10(1), 10–38. https://hal.science/Hal-03741847/.

Teplá, M., Teplý, P., & Šmejkal, P. (2022). Influence of 3D models and animations on students in natural subjects. International Journal of STEM Education, 9(1), 65. https://link.springer.com/article/10.1186/s40594-022-00382-8.

Virata, R. O., & Castro, J. D. L. (2019). Augmented reality in science classroom: Perceived effects in education, visualization and information processing. Proceedings of the 10th International Conference on E-Education, E-Business, E-Management and E-Learning, 85–92. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1145/3306500.3306556.

Yu, J., Denham, A. R., & Searight, E. (2022). A systematic review of augmented reality game-based Learning in STEM education. Educational Technology Research and Development, 70(4), 1169–1194. https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s11423-022-10122-y.

Zhang, W., Han, B., & Hui, P. (2017). On the networking challenges of mobile augmented reality. Proceedings of the Workshop on Virtual Reality and Augmented Reality Network, 24–29. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1145/3097895.3097900.

Zhang, W., Han, B., & Hui, P. (2022). Sear: Scaling experiences in multi-user augmented reality. IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics, 28(5), 1982–1992. https://doi.org/DOI:10.1109/TVCG.2022.3150467.

Zhang, Y., Feijoo-Garcia, M. A., Gu, Y., Popescu, V., Benes, B., & Magana, A. J. (2024). Virtual and Augmented Reality in Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) Education: An Umbrella Review. Information, 15(9), 515. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.3390/info15090515.